scheming mind, and he told you this on the witness stand, he jumped to the conclusion, only knowing that this Willie was a friend, that this man would be raising, disciplining, enjoying his daughter, while he wasn't.

And he told you he jumped to that conclusion on the witness stand, under oath this morning. Again, totally wrong. But it shows you the type of person that he is, a very possessive person, a very jealous person, and a very calculating person.

Now, as we talked about before, and I asked him this on the witness stand as well. He's going to traffic court the morning of the 18th, and he told you under oath that he thought he was going to get six months in jail. That he was going to do that 180 days, and which are 180 days that he would not get to see Deborah, or Alexis.

And that would be 180 days that this
Willie, this new power in Ms. Shepherd's life,
totally falsified in his mind by him, and played up
by him, but he's got himself worked up into a
frenzy, and here it is midnight, 1:00, 2:00 in the
morning, and he knows at 9 o'clock he's got to be
in traffic court and that he's got to do six months

to go.

in jail. He knows if he doesn't show up for traffic court there will be a capias out and he's going to get picked up by the police. So he's got

So that morning is his one opportunity to strike. It's his only chance, because the next six months, the way he thinks, and he told you this, he's going to be locked up, and those are six months that Willie's going to be with this child that he is not going to get to see Deborah and by the time he gets out he's totally out of the picture.

Those are his thoughts, and that is what the evidence shows.

Now, we know that her apartment was targeted. Now, we know that her door was immediately kicked in. And Mr. Donnett said there's no pictures. Well, I wish there would have been but there aren't. But Officer Proffitt told you that that dead bolt, or that lock was laying on the floor. I don't think there is any question that the door was kicked in because there was a corresponding dent on the outside of the door, which would knock that dead bolt inside.

This isn't a sneaky kind of crime where he

cuts the screen or picks a lock. This is a school night, it's a Wednesday night, Thursday morning, everybody's going to be home, everybody is going to be asleep in that apartment complex. And he knew that this type of violent act, everybody would hear, a door kicked in, screaming. But he didn't care, because he had a job to do and he almost accomplished it.

Now, why did he chose apartment 11?

Mr. Donnett says it's a random attack or some kind of ex-boyfriend or boyfriend. Well, it wasn't a random attack by a crazed stabber.

He had to go up the steps. He didn't choose Ms. Tidwell, Ms. Flanagan, any other apartment in that whole complex, but he chose her apartment.

Deborah Shepherd was targeted by Reginald Allen.

Now, after her door was kicked in there was no intent to steal. There was no intent to take anything. But he quickly ran back to her bedroom.

And I think a very critical point in this trial is this, is this drawing that Mr. Donnett asked Ms. Shepherd to draw. And I'd really like

Filed 11/21/2001

you to take a close look at this, because this is a long hallway, there is a living room, a dining room, the kids' bedroom, the kids' bathroom, another hallway, and the bedroom. Where did he go? Where did he go straight Did he go to the living room? Dining room? Kids' bedroom? Debbie's bedroom? He went straight to Debbie Shepherd's bedroom. The farthest point away in that entire apartment.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It wasn't somebody that didn't know the layout of that apartment. It's somebody that had been there, lived there, and knew exactly where she'd be. He didn't have to check the kids' bedroom, the living room, the dining room and go searching around. He went straight back to that bedroom where he knew that Debbie Shepherd would be. He never went to any other room.

He attacks her, he flees, and he goes out the back way, because other people looked out the front and he didn't go out the front.

If you try to say that Debbie Shepherd is lying, it's so inconceivable and beyond belief, that with this history between the two of them, and that exact same moment somebody else would come in and attack her, it's just crazy.

_

. _

We do not have to prove motive to you in any case, but in this case it's very, very clear that there is a motive. And it's very, very clear that nobody else in the world has a motive to kick in her door and attack her in that rage that he did.

Nobody else in the world wanted to do this to Deborah Shepherd except for him. It wasn't one stab, or one hit on the head, it was over and over and over. It was a rage that he perpetrated against her, and she's yelling, Reggie, stop.

Reggie, don't do this, and she's staring into his eyes, seeing that knife, that's the terror that she felt.

And then you have got Stacey Tidwell saying the words that she screamed. And you have also got Kristen, who's positively identified him as the attacker, positively identified him as the attacker. His alibi is completely worthless.

I don't think I have to go through each and every discrepancy, but his father said I think that he went upstairs around 1:30 or so, and took a shower and went to bed. But his mother said that his father and she stayed up until around 2:15, 2:30, downstairs together. It is completely

different from what the father said.

The brother says something different. The father says nothing about the defendant going out at 11:30 or so with somebody else. The brother's times.

And Mr. Donnett talked about the brother like you want to hang your hat for what he said, for his alibi. That is completely absurd.

Now, Calvin Barrett, the Judge will tell you in his instructions, I believe, that you can believe or disbelieve all or part of a witness's testimony.

I think the evidence suggests that Calvin Barrett is being truthful in some of the things that he told you, and untruthful in some of the other things that he told you. But what he does do is tell you that he had a light colored van.

Ms. Shepherd said when she looked out her window that a light colored van, that's what she saw. Again, a very critical piece of testimony, his van.

He admitted being there. I think the evidence suggests that he was there with Reggie Allen when they knocked on her door. He denies it. He doesn't want to implicate himself in this attack

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so he is not going to admit that, but what it does is, it totally blows out that alibi, because if you look at State's Exhibit 12, Mr. Barrett was very, very clear, that Reggie Allen came over his house later on that day, around lunch time. I'm on the I have to go hook it up now, on the box. telephone.

This will prove what day this all happened It's a shame that Alexis, the four year old, had to see her dad stabbing her mom and scream out, daddy, stop.

It's a shame that Kristen had to see what she saw. These are things they all have to live with the rest of their lives.

And Mr. Donnett said this was a tempestuous relationship. I am not quite sure what that word means, I think it means like stormy, but this wasn't a stormy relationship it was a violent relationship, where he'd attacked her numerous times in the past.

I am not here to tell you why a woman or man stays in an abusive relationship. I think a lot of more educated and smarter people than me have tried to research that issue for a long time. And I don't know why, and I don't think anybody

knows why they do. But, she did.

I think she told you that he's a very good talker, in that he talked her out of pursuing these charges. And it's interesting that he told you that he was concerned, he said, when he heard about this attack, for his daughter. And he cried. And the other kids.

But he never told you that he was concerned for Debbie Shepherd, did he? Did he ever go to the hospital? Did he ever try to see her that day? He never did. He was just upset that he didn't finish the job. When his stepdaughter came in the room and saw him doing it he had to leave.

Don't let this man talk you out of convicting him just like he talked Debbie Shepherd out of pursuing the prior charges. Find him quilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen. Those of you who do not wish to stay you must leave at this time, the Court's about to charge the jury and if you remain you will to remain until the charge is completed. Okay.

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you have heard the evidence and arguments of counsel. Court and jury have separate functions. You decide

1

18

19

14

15

16

17

20

21 22

23

24

the disputed facts and the Court provides the law whereby you are to deliberate. It is your sworn duty to accept the law as I give it to you.

You are not permitted to apply your own concept of what you believe the law should be during your deliberations.

A criminal case begins with an indictment that informs the defendant of the charges against him. You may not consider it for any purpose because the defendant has plead not guilty and that places at issue all the essential elements of the offenses that are charged.

In this case the defendant is charged with aggravated burglary, two counts of felonious assault, an attempted aggravated murder and domestic violence.

In order to find the defendant guilty you must find the State has proved each and every element of each offense beyond a reasonable doubt.

If you find the State has failed to prove each and every element of a charge or the charges beyond a reasonable doubt you must find the defendant not guilty.

Reasonable doubt is present when, after you have carefully considered and compared all the

Document 1-2 Filed 11/21/20

evidence you cannot say you are firmly convinced of the truth of the charge or the charges.

Reasonable doubt is based on reason and common sense. Reasonable doubt is not mere possible doubt because everything in human affairs or depending on moral evidence is open to some possible or imaginary doubt.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof of such a character that an ordinary person would be willing to rely and act upon it in his or her most important affairs.

If after a full and impartial consideration of all the evidence you are firmly convinced of the truth of the charge, the State has proven that charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

If you are not convinced of the truth of the charge, you must find the defendant not guilty of that charge.

In order to make a determination of guilt or innocence you will look to the evidence that was presented in this case. And the evidence is all the testimony received from the witnesses and the exhibits that were admitted.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial or both. Direct evidence is the testimony given by

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing has been mailed this 20 November, 2001, through regular United States Mail with sufficient postage affixed to ensure delivery, to:

> Attorney General's Office 1600 Carew Tower 441 Vine St. Cincinnati OH 45202

> > Kevin M. Schad

Attorney for Petitioner